## United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

U.S. DISTRICT COURT WEST DIST. OF WISCONSIN

2007-1026, -1033

FEB - 8 2008

WISCONSIN ALUMNI RESEARCH FOUNDATION FILED

CASE #

Plaintiff-Cross Appellant,

٧.

XENON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Defendant-Appellant.

### **Judgment**

ON APPEAL from the

United States District Court for the

Western District of Wisconsin

in CASE NO(S).

05-CV-242.

This CAUSE having been heard and considered, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED:

#### **TRANSFERRED**

To the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

DATED OCT 2 4 2007

Montosport

Jan Horbaly, Clerk

ISSUED AS A MANDATE: FEB - 5 2008

CERTIFIED COPY
I HEREBY CERTIFY THIS DOCUMENT
IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY
OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

By: Valence DA Date: 2/5/08

Case: 3:05-cv-00242-bbc Document #: 237 Filed: 02/08/2008 Page 2 of 4

Note: Pursuant to Fed. Cir. R. 47.8, this order is not citable as precedent. It is a public record.

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

2007-1026, -1033

2008 FEB -8 AM 11: 54

Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation,

THERESA M. OWENS CLERK US DIST COURT WD OF WI

Plaintiff-Cross Appellant,

٧.

XENON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Defendant-Appellant.

### ORDER

Pursuant to this court's opinion filed October 24, 2007

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

The appeal is hereby transferred to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

Clerk

FOR THE COURT:

February 5, 2008

Jan Horbaly

c: Anthony A. Tomaselli Russell L. Johnson Clerk's Office, U.S. District Court, WD/WI (05-CV-242) Clerk's Office, U.S. Court of Appeals, 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit (with certified copy of file)

ISSUED AS A MANDATE: February 5, 2008

CERTIFIED COPY
I HEREBY CERTIFY THIS DOCUMENT
IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY
OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

By: Valure 2 15/08

Filed: 02/08/98/9/STRICTQOURT 4
WEST DIST. OF WISCONSIN

FEB - 8 2008

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

FILED THERESA M. OWENS, CLERK

# United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

2007-1026, -1033

WISCONSIN ALUMNI RESEARCH FOUNDATION,

Plaintiff-Cross Appellant,

٧.

XENON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Defendant-Appellant.

DECIDED: October 24, 2007

Before MAYER and GAJARSA, <u>Circuit Judges</u>, and RESTANI, <u>Judge</u>.\*
PER CURIAM.

This court has no jurisdiction over this case because it does not present a claim arising under the patent laws. See 28 U.S.C. § 1295(a); Christianson v. Colt Indus. Operating Corp., 486 U.S. 800 (1988). Xenon Pharmaceutical, Inc. relies on the appearance of the Bayh-Dole Act, 35 U.S.C. §§ 200 et seq., in Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation's (WARF) complaint to urge section 1295(a) jurisdiction. However, mere inclusion in Title 35 of the United States Code does not make a statute

<sup>\*</sup> Honorable Jane A. Restani, Chief Judge of the United States Court of International Trade, sitting by designation.

a patent law under which a claim may arise. At its heart, the Bayh-Dole Act concerns

government funding agreements - contracts in the language of 35 U.S.C. § 201 - an

area that is outside our section 1295(a) jurisdiction. See Bonzel v. Pfizer, Inc., 439 F.3d

1358, 1362-63 (Fed. Cir. 2006) (noting that contract obligations do not "arise under" the

patent laws merely because the contract is a patent license).

Even if the Bayh-Dole Act were a patent law as contemplated by section 1295(a),

WARF's well-pleaded complaint neither proposes that the Act creates the cause of

action, nor that their "right to relief necessarily depends on resolution of a substantial

question of federal patent law". Christianson v. Colt, 486 U.S. at 808-09. It merely

underpins an ownership theory alternative to Wisconsin contract law, which may not

form the basis for section 1295(a) jurisdiction. See id. at 810.

Accordingly, the case will be transferred to the United States Court of Appeals for

the Seventh Circuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1631. WARF shall have its costs.

CERTIFIED COPY
I HEREBY CERTIFY THIS DOCUMENT
IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY
OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

By: Valerie Date: 2/5/08

2007-1026, -1033

2